Estimating the Gains from Liberalizing Services Trade: The Case of Passenger Aviation

> Anca Cristea University of Oregon

**David Hummels** Purdue University, NBER

#### **Brian Roberson**

**Purdue University** 

LMU Munich April 2017

# Liberalization and services trade

- We know relatively little about how services trade is affected by efforts at liberalization.
   Why?
- Measurement:
  - Services trade data are highly aggregated;
  - Values, not P and Q
- Policy change is difficult to quantity:
  - Rules are complex and regulate how services are provided
  - Literature uses indices, relies on cross country comparisons

### Data on aviation and policy change

- We have detailed transactions data on U.S. passenger aviation, 1993-2008.
  - Prices, quantities for each carrier competing for precisely defined services (San Francisco -> Munich)
- We have a nice source of policy change:
  - From 1992-2007, the US signs 87 bilateral *Open Skies Agreements* (OSA) that liberalize aviation markets.
  - Another 21 agreements signed between 2008-2013.



The quantity of international passengers leaving the US doubles.





# The quantity of international passengers leaving the US doubles.



# Were these changes caused by liberalization?

# This Paper

- *I. Model of passenger aviation market:* 
  - Hub and spoke network
  - Uncertain demand + consumer heterogeneity
  - Capacity-constrained price competition

Predictions map tightly into our empirics

#### *II. Diff-in-diff estimation:*

How does liberalization affect:

- Carrier entry/exit;
- capacity allocation
- P, Q, quality

#### III. Consumer welfare calculation

### **Related Literature**

- Market structure & competition in aviation markets:
  - Hub-and-spoke network + quantity competition:
     Caves et al. (1984), Brueckner and Spiller (1991), Brueckner (2001)
  - Hub-and-spoke network + price competition:
     Hendricks et al. (1997, 1999), Aguirregabiria and Ho (2010, 2012)
- Capacity constraints + demand uncertainty:
  - Eden (1990), Dana (1999), Reynolds and Wilson (2000), Lepore (2012)
- Liberalization in aviation services:

Brueckner and Whalen (2000), Brueckner (2003), Whalen (2007), Bilotkatch (2007), Permartini and Rousova (2013), Winston and Yan (2015), McCallum and Rysman (2016)

# Outline

- 1. Institutional Background
- 2. Theoretical Model
- 3. Empirical analysis
- 4. Welfare calculations

### Existing regulatory regime: Bilateral Air Service Agreements

- Early efforts at multilateral agreements failed
  - Aviation is outside General Agreement on Trade in Services
  - In place of multilateral agreement: complex web of bilaterals
- Restrictive bilateral air service agreements

Example: US China-Aviation Treaty (1980):

- Entry restrictions: 2 carriers per country
- Capacity restrictions: 2 flights per week for a given city-pair route
- Route restrictions: flights between 4 US and 2 Chinese gateways
- Price restrictions: price changes must be submitted to DC, Beijing for approval two months in advance.

### Bilateral Open Skies Agreements (OSA)

- Starting in 1992, U.S. begins to liberalize international air services with specific partners → Open Skies Agreements
   106 agreements between 1992-2013
- Remove most existing restrictions (our focus)
  - No limit on entry, routes, capacity
- Grant new benefits (outside our focus)
  - Extensive "beyond" market rights
  - Allow inter-airline cooperation (alliances, codesharing)

### Timing of Open Skies Agreements



Agreements are signed sequentially; order weakly correlated with GDP per capita

Europe spread throughout sample.

# Endogeneity of OSAs?

- <u>Who</u> signs OSAs and <u>when</u>?
  - No clear statistical pattern in who signs OSAs
  - No clear statistical pattern in the timing of signings
- Why no systematic patterns?
  - OSAs are boilerplate agreements negotiated by U.S. State Dept.
  - US Trade Representative (Bob Zoellick): "[signing OSAs] likely has more to do with diplomatic issues than economic"
- To be conservative, we focus only on OSA signatory countries
  - identification is not from who signs, but when each country signs
  - take timing as exogenous, conditional on country characteristics

### **Theoretical Framework**

Main Objectives:

- Characterize aviation markets using key industry features
- Understand how route and capacity restrictions affect:
  - Marginal cost of production and prices
  - Competition (carrier entry/exit)
  - Capacity allocation across routes
  - Quality: consumers valuation of flights

# Model Setup

#### • Consumers:

- have unit demands and heterogeneous reservation values
- queue up in random order at the ticket desk (random rationing)
- purchase lowest (quality-adjusted) price ticket that is below reservation value
- Firms (air carriers):
  - Decide market entry (city-pair route)
  - Make capacity choice
  - Set pricing schedule without knowing:
    - a. The reservation value of a specific customer
    - b. Demand state (e.g., high or low)
    - c. Where other carriers are in the pricing schedule

#### More Formally.... Demand Side

- Random market demand: eD(p)ullet
  - shock "e" rotates the demand curve  $e \in [0,1]; \quad e \sim F(e)$
  - *p* is "effective price":  $p = \begin{cases} p^{D} & \text{if direct flight} \\ p^{I} / \alpha & \text{if indirect flight} \end{cases}$

 $0 < \alpha < 1$  disutility for indirectness

- Choke price: 
$$\overline{p} = \inf\{p \mid D(p) = 0\}$$



# Supply Side





Two types of cities:

- gateways: g, f
- non-gateway hubs: h1, h2

Take domestic hub-spoke network as given. Simplify Foreign network.

• Carriers allocate capacity across routes at constant per-unit cost:

 $\lambda_{\text{D}}$  = per-unit cost for direct international route

 $\lambda_{c}$  = per-unit cost for domestic connection

Key: route restrictions increase cost of capacity E.g.: indirect international flight  $h_1$  to f:  $\lambda_D + \lambda_C$ 

# Timing

- Three stages:
  - 1. Carriers enter and form *international* networks
  - 2. Choose capacity and set pricing schedule
  - 3. Uncertain demand is realized and tickets purchased
- Focus on 2<sup>nd</sup> stage: price-capacity schedule
  - each carrier decides what number of tickets to offer at what price on each feasible route (given uncertain random demand)
  - symmetric subgame perfect equilibrium (within carrier type)

• Notation:

 $q_i(p) =$  number of units (seats) that carrier *i* prices at value *p*   $Q_i(p) =$  total units that carrier i prices at or below *p*  $Q_i(p) = \int_0^p q_i(r) dr$ 

- Total capacity chosen by carrier *i*:  $Q_i(\overline{p}) = \int_0^p q_i(r) dr$
- Market marginal quantity schedule:  $q(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i(p)$

• Residual market demand at price p given demand shock e:

$$eD(p)\left[1-\int_{0}^{p}\frac{q(r)}{eD(r)}dr\right]$$

• Market clearing demand shock at price p:

resid 
$$D(p) = 0$$
  
 $\Rightarrow e(p,q) = \int_0^p \frac{q(r)}{D(r)} dr$ 



- Probability that all units priced at p sell:
   Prob(e > e(p,q)) = 1 F(e(p,q))
- Carrier profit functions:
  - Direct service:

$$\pi_i(q_i, q_{-i}) = \int_0^p \left[ \left( 1 - F(e(p, q)) p - \lambda_D \right] q_i(r) dr$$

– Indirect service:

$$\pi_i(q_i, q_{-i}) = \int_0^p \left[ \left( 1 - F(e(p, q)) \alpha p - (\lambda_D + \lambda_C) \right] q_i(r) dr \right]$$

## Equilibrium: Price-Capacity Schedule

- In equilibrium set MR equal to (constant) MC across possible demand states
  - Continuously distributed shock "e"  $\rightarrow$  smooth pricing function
- Prices rise as market nears capacity Why?

- expected revenue from selling ticket at price *p*:  $p \cdot (1 - F(e(p,q)))$ 

Sale probability

e(p,q) high  $\rightarrow$  Q(p) high and Prob (e > e(p,q)) low e(p,q) low  $\rightarrow$  Q(p) low and Prob (e > e(p,q)) high

 to keep MR equal across demand states => price p must rise when sale probability is low => p increases with Q(p)







Median capacity utilization on international flights is 69%.



For a given city pair and year, prices vary significantly.

Stdev/mean = 0.43 log points.

90/10 = 0.93 log points.

### **Average Pricing Function**



Average ticket price is defined as:

 $eD(p^{avg}) = Q(\rho)$ 

→ price at which the quantity of tickets demanded is equal to the cumulative market quantity of tickets.

### Particular Realization of Demand



### **Route restrictions & Liberalization**



#### OSA effect on non-gateway hubs (h1, h2):

- Number of carriers goes up: foreign carriers can now serve Home hubs
- Increase consumer valuation by offering direct service
- Larger market capacity: lower costs of capacity from less indirect routing

#### **OSA effects on gateways:**

• Relax (possibly binding) capacity constraints for consumers at g

#### Liberalization Effects on Non-Gateway Hubs



Allowing direct flights, foreign entry: raises capacity and Q; lowers average prices

#### Liberalization Effects on Gateways



Relax capacity constraints: increases capacity, lowers average price

#### P, Q effects depend on the ex-post demand state



# Empirics

- Evidence for key channels of the model:
  - Passenger growth through provision of new routes
  - Carrier entry and capacity expansion in non-gateway hubs
- Consumer welfare:
  - estimate changes in prices, quantities, quality
  - combine these into changes in quality-adjusted prices after liberalization
- Diff. in diff. estimations

### Data Sources

#### • T 100 International Segment Data

- Traffic data by route (city-pair) x carrier
- All non-stop flight segments crossing the US border
- Number of passengers, departures, available seats
- Origin-Destination Passenger Survey
  - *Transaction* data: 10% sample of int'l airline tickets
  - air fare, service characteristics (fare class, distance flown, # segments, transit airports)
  - all segments of the itinerary and carrier(s)

# Estimate the impact of OSA on U.S. International Air Traffic

• DID regression for U.S. traffic to country *d*:

 $\ln Y_{dt} = \beta_1 OSA_{dt} + X\beta + \alpha_d + \alpha_t + \varepsilon_{dt}$ 

- OSA = 1 for any year that the agreement is in effect
- Y is a measure of passenger traffic:
  - total number of passengers
  - number of distinct city-pair routes (extensive margin)
  - average passenger per route (intensive margin)
- X are destination-year controls:
  - income, population, 9/11 crisis, Visa Waiver Program,
  - region specific trends

Pre-Existing Trend: Total Traffic



		Margins of A	djustment
	Total Air Traffic	Extensive	Intensive
Panel A			
OSA	0.204**	0.148***	0.056
	[0.082]	[0.041]	[0.085]
Observations	918	918	918
R-squared	0.235	0.235	0.133
Panel B			
Year OSA == 0	0.108	0.102*	0.006
	[0.103]	[0.059]	[0.104]
Year OSA == 1	0.206*	0.185***	0.021
	[0.122]	[0.058]	[0.128]
Year OSA == 2	0.356***	0.193***	0.163
	[0.130]	[0.060]	[0.129]
Year OSA == 3	0.199	0.128*	0.071
	[0.189]	[0.069]	[0.196]
Year OSA == 4	0.402***	0.164**	0.238
	[0.154]	[0.067]	[0.152]
Year OSA == 5+	0.331**	0.208***	0.124
	[0.133]	[0.062]	[0.139]

		Margins of A	djustment
	Total Air Traffic	Extensive	Intensive
Panel A			
OSA	0.204**	0.148***	0.056
	[0.082]	[0.041]	[0.085]
Observations	918	918	918
R-squared	0.235	0.235	0.133
Panel B	_		
Year OSA == 0	0.108	0.102*	0.006
	[0.103]	[0.059]	[0.104]
Year OSA == 1	0.206*	0.185***	0.021
	[0.122]	[0.058]	[0.128]
Year OSA == 2	0.356***	0.193***	0.163
	[0.130]	[0.060]	[0.129]
Year OSA == 3	0.199	0.128*	0.071
	[0.189]	[0.069]	[0.196]
Year OSA == 4	0.402***	0.164**	0.238
	[0.154]	[0.067]	[0.152]
Year OSA == 5+	0.331**	0.208***	0.124
	[0.133]	[0.062]	[0.139]

		Margins of A	djustment
	Total Air Traffic	Extensive	Intensive
Panel A			
OSA	0.204**	0.148***	0.056
	[0.082]	[0.041]	[0.085]
Observations	018	018	018
R-squared	0.235	0.235	0.133
Panel B			
Year OSA == 0	0.108	0.102*	0.006
	[0.103]	[0.059]	[0.104]
Year OSA == 1	0.206*	0.185***	0.021
	[0.122]	[0.058]	[0.128]
Year OSA == 2	0.356***	0.193***	0.163
	[0.130]	[0.060]	[0.129]
Year OSA == 3	0.199	0.128*	0.071
	[0.189]	[0.069]	[0.196]
Year OSA == 4	0.402***	0.164**	0.238
	[0.154]	[0.067]	[0.152]
Year OSA == 5+	0.331**	0.208***	0.124
	[0.133]	[0.062]	[0.139]

		Margins of	Adjustment
	Total Air Traffic	Extensive	Intensive
Panel A			
OSA	0.204**	0.148***	0.056
	[0.082]	[0.041]	[0.085]
Observations	918	918	918
R-squared	0.235	0.235	0.133
Panel B			
Year OSA == 0	0.108	0.102*	0.006
	[0.103]	[0.059]	[0.104]
Year OSA == 1	0.206*	0.185***	0.021
	[0.122]	[0.058]	[0.128]
Year OSA == $2$	0.356***	0.193***	0.163
	[0.130]	[0.060]	[0.129]
Year OSA == 3	0.199	0.128*	0.071
	[0.189]	[0.069]	[0.196]
Year OSA == 4	0.402***	0.164**	0.238
	[0.154]	[0.067]	[0.152]
Year OSA == $5+$	0.331**	0.208***	0.124
	[0.133]	[0.062]	[0.139]

		Share Seats
	Total Seats	Pre-OSA Gateway
Panel A	Seats	Guternug
OSA	0.212**	-0.043**
	[0.082]	[0.020]
Observations	918	918
R-squared	0.179	0.068
Panel B		
Year OSA == 0	0.097	0.014
	[0.106]	[0.029]
Year OSA == 1	0.222*	-0.035
	[0.118]	[0.025]
Year OSA == 2	0.343***	-0.046
	[0.125]	[0.028]
Year OSA == 3	0.276*	-0.050*
	[0.151]	[0.028]
Year OSA == 4	0.422***	-0.078**
	[0.154]	[0.031]
Year OSA == 5+	0.332**	-0.116***
	[0.132]	[0.028]

		Share Seats
	Total	Pre-OSA
	Seats	Gateway
Panel A		
OSA	0.212**	-0.043**
	[0.082]	[0.020]
		-
Observations	918	918
R-squared	0.179	0.068
Panel B		
Year OSA == 0	0.097	0.014
	[0.106]	[0.029]
Year OSA == 1	0.222*	-0.035
	[0.118]	[0.025]
Year OSA == 2	0.343***	-0.046
	[0.125]	[0.028]
Year OSA == 3	0.276*	-0.050*
	[0.151]	[0.028]
Year OSA == 4	0.422***	-0.078**
	[0.154]	[0.031]
Year OSA == 5+	0.332**	-0.116***
	[0.132]	[0.028]

		Share Seats
	Total	Pre-OSA
	Seats	Gateway
Panel A		
OSA	0.212**	-0.043**
	[0.082]	[0.020]
ot i		
Observations	918	918
R-squared	0.179	0.068
Panel B		
Year OSA == 0	0.097	0.014
	[0.106]	[0.029]
Year OSA == 1	0.222*	-0.035
	[0.118]	[0.025]
Year OSA == 2	0.343***	-0.046
	[0.125]	[0.028]
Year OSA == 3	0.276*	-0.050*
	[0.151]	[0.028]
Year OSA == 4	0.422***	-0.078**
	[0.154]	[0.031]
Year OSA == 5+	0.332**	-0.116***
	[0.132]	[0.028]

		Share Seats
	Total	Pre-OSA
Demolt	Seats	Gateway
Panel A		
OSA	0.212**	-0.043**
	[0.082]	[0.020]
Observations	918	918
R-squared	0.179	0.068
Panel B		
Year OSA == 0	0.097	0.014
	[0.106]	[0.029]
Year OSA == 1	0.222*	-0.035
	[0.118]	[0.025]
Year OSA == $2$	0.343***	-0.046
	[0.125]	[0.028]
Year OSA == 3	0.276*	-0.050*
	[0.151]	[0.028]
Year OSA == 4	0.422***	-0.078**
	[0.154]	[0.031]
Year OSA == 5+	0.332**	-0.116***
	[0.132]	[0.028]

#### OSA Effect on Entry/Exit



### OSA Effect on Route Prices, Quantities and Quality

• DID regressions at city-pair level (orig-dest):

 $lnP_{odt} = \alpha_{od} + \alpha_t + \beta_1 lnQ_{odt} + \beta_2 lnSeg_{odt} + \beta_3 OSA_{dt} + \beta_4 lnZ_{odt}^P + \beta_5 lnX_{odt} + \varepsilon_{odt}$ 

 $lnQ_{odt} = \alpha_{od} + \alpha_t + \gamma_1 lnP_{odt} + \gamma_2 lnSeg_{odt} + \gamma_3 OSA_{dt} + \gamma_4 lnZ_{odt}^Q + \gamma_5 lnV_{odt} + \varepsilon_{odt}$ 

 $lnSeg_{odt} = \alpha_{od} + \alpha_t + \delta_1 lnQ_{odt} + \delta_2 OSA_{dt} + \delta_3 \ln W_{odt} + \varepsilon_{odt}$ 

• Estimate each equation by 2SLS to account for joint determination of P, Q, Segm.

### **Price Equation**

$$\begin{split} lnP_{odt} &= \alpha_{od} + \alpha_t + \beta_1 lnQ_{odt} + \beta_2 lnSeg_{odt} + \beta_3 OSA_{dt} + \\ &+ \beta_4 lnZ_{odt}^P + \beta_5 lnX_{odt} + \varepsilon_{odt} \end{split}$$

- Key variables:
  - Passenger quantities (IV: population at origin, destination)
  - Number of segments flown (exogenous w.r.t. prices)
  - Measure of liberalization: OSA
- Controls
  - per-capita incomes at origin and destination
  - cost shocks:
    - aircraft insurance costs \* world region dummies
    - jet fuel prices \* distance (nonstop and excess distance, squared)
  - fixed effects for origin-destination, time
  - regional trends

Drico		Dependent variable: Ln Airfare			
		<b>OSA Signatory countries</b>			
Estimati	on	(4)	(5)	(6)	
		Pooled	By Type Gateways	By Type Gateways	
	OSA	-0.028**	-0.025*	-0.029**	
		[0.013]	[0.014]	[0.013]	
	OSA * Pre-OSA Gateway		0.010	0.014	
			[0.010]	[0.009]	
	OSA * Large Hub		-0.021**		
			[0.010]		
	OSA * Large Hub (T100)			-0.034***	
				[0.012]	
	Ln Passengers	-0.107***	-0.103***	-0.106***	
	C	[0.030]	[0.032]	[0.031]	
	Ln Flight Segments	-0.030	-0.025	-0.030	
	5 5	[0.036]	[0.037]	[0.036]	
	First Stage Regression:				
	Excluded instruments:				
	Ln MSA Population	1.028***	0.985***	1.015***	
	-	[0.049]	[0.049]	[0.048]	
	Ln Country Population	1.881***	1.852***	1.904***	
		[0.309]	[0.310]	[0.308]	
	Partial R-squared	0.012	0.011	0.011	
	F-Test of IVs	240.300	225.600	248.700	

Drico	Dependent variable: Ln Airfare				
		OSA .	<b>OSA</b> Signatory countries		
Estimati	on 	(4) Pooled	(5) By Type Gateways	(6) By Type Gateways	
	OSA	-0.028**	-0.025*	-0.029**	
	OSA * Pre-OSA Gateway	[0.015]	0.010	0.014	
	OSA * Large Hub		-0.021**	[0.009]	
	OSA * Large Hub (T100)		[0.010]	-0.034*** [0.012]	
	Ln Passengers	-0.107***	-0.103***	-0.106***	
	Ln Flight Segments	[0.030] -0.030 [0.036]	[0.032] -0.025 [0.037]	[0.031] -0.030 [0.036]	
	First Stage Regression:				
	Excluded instruments:				
	Ln MSA Population	1.028***	0.985***	1.015***	
	Ln Country Population	[0.049] 1.881*** [0.309]	[0.049] 1.852*** [0.310]	[0.048] 1.904*** [0.308]	
	Partial R-squared	0.012	0.011	0.011	
	F-Test of IVs	240.300	225.600	248.700	

Drico		Dependent variable: Ln Airfare			
		OSA S	Signatory cour	ntries	
Estimati	on 	(4) Pooled	(5) By Type Gateways	(6) By Type Gateways	
	OSA	-0.028**	-0.025*	-0.029**	
	OSA * Pre-OSA Gateway	[0.015]	0.010	0.014	
	OSA * Large Hub		-0.021** [0.010]	[0.009]	
	OSA * Large Hub (T100)		[0.010]	-0.034*** [0.012]	
	Ln Passengers	-0.107***	-0.103***	-0.106***	
	Ln Flight Segments	[0.030] -0.030 [0.036]	[0.032] -0.025 [0.037]	[0.031] -0.030 [0.036]	
	First Stage Regression:				
	Excluded instruments:				
	Ln MSA Population	1.028***	0.985***	1.015***	
	Ln Country Population	[0.049] 1.881*** [0.309]	[0.049] 1.852*** [0.310]	[0.048] 1.904*** [0.308]	
	Partial R-squared	0.012	0.011	0.011	
	F-Test of IVs	240.300	225.600	248.700	

Drico		Dependent variable: Ln Airfare			
		OSA S	<b>OSA Signatory countries</b>		
Estimatic	ion	(4)	(5)	(6)	
		Pooled	By Type Gateways	By Type Gateways	
	OSA	-0.028**	-0.025*	-0.029**	
		[0.013]	[0.014]	[0.013]	
	OSA * Pre-OSA Gateway		0.010	0.014	
			[0.010]	[0.009]	
	OSA * Large Hub		-0.021**		
			[0.010]		
	OSA * Large Hub (T100)			-0.034***	
				[0.012]	
	Ln Passengers	-0.107***	-0.103***	-0.106***	
	-	[0.030]	[0.032]	[0.031]	
	Ln Flight Segments	-0.030	-0.025	-0.030	
	5 5	[0.036]	[0.037]	[0.036]	
	First Stage Regression:				
	Excluded instruments:				
	Ln MSA Population	1.028***	0.985***	1.015***	
	-	[0.049]	[0.049]	[0.048]	
	Ln Country Population	1.881***	1.852***	1.904***	
	_	[0.309]	[0.310]	[0.308]	
	Partial R-squared	0.012	0.011	0.011	
	F-Test of IVs	240.300	225.600	248.700	

#### OSA effects on quantities



#### OSA effects on quantities



### Quantity equation

 $lnQ_{odt} = \alpha_{od} + \alpha_t + \gamma_1 lnP_{odt} + \gamma_2 lnSeg_{odt} + \gamma_3 OSA_{dt} +$ 

 $+ \gamma_4 ln Z_{odt}^Q + \gamma_5 ln V_{odt} + \varepsilon_{odt}$ 

- Key variables:
  - Airfare & number of segments (explicit quality)
    - IV: jet fuel prices \* distance (nonstop & excess distance, squared)
  - Measures of liberalization: OSA
- Controls:
  - Population & incomes at origin and destination; bilateral exports
  - fixed effects for origin-destination, time
  - region trends
- OSA variable measures *implicit* quality:
  - increase in passengers conditional on prices and on flight segments

	Dependent variable: Ln Passengers			
lantity	OSA .	Signatory cour	ntries	
timation	(4)	(5)	(6)	
	Pooled	By Type	By Type	
		Gateways	Gateways	
OSA	-0.012	-0.036	-0.018	
	[0.039]	[0.040]	[0.039]	
OSA * Pre-OSA Gateway		0.100**	0.083*	
-		[0.045]	[0.044]	
OSA * Large Hub		0.091**		
_		[0.037]		
OSA * Large Hub (T100)			0.023	
			[0.055]	
Ln Airfare	-1.892***	-1.839***	-1.967***	
	[0.474]	[0.484]	[0.479]	
Ln Flight Segments	-1.003	-1.067*	-1.019	
	[0.625]	[0.641]	[0.635]	
First Stage Regressions:				
Dependent variable	2:	Ln Airfare		
Partial R-squared	0.006	0.006	0.006	
F-Test of IVs	12.760	12.520	12.780	
Dependent variable	2:1	Ln Flight Segn	nents	
Partial R-squared	0.005	0.005	0.005	
F-Test of IVs	37.110	36.880	36.850	

	Dependent variable: Ln Passengers OSA Signatory countries			
ntity				
mation	(4)	(5)	(6)	
	Pooled	By Type Gateways	By Type Gateways	
OSA	-0.012	-0.036	-0.018	
	[0.039]	[0.040]	[0.039]	
OSA * Pre-OSA Gateway		0.100**	0.083*	
		[0.045]	[0.044]	
OSA * Large Hub		0.091**		
		[0.037]		
OSA * Large Hub (T100)			0.023	
			[0.055]	
Ln Airfare	-1.892***	-1.839***	-1.967***	
	[0.474]	[0.484]	[0.479]	
Ln Flight Segments	-1.003	-1.067*	-1.019	
	[0.625]	[0.641]	[0.635]	
First Stage Regressions:				
Dependent variable	e:	Ln Airfare		
Partial R-squared	0.006	0.006	0.006	
F-Test of IVs	12.760	12.520	12.780	
Dependent variable	e:1	Ln Flight Segn	nents	
Partial R-squared	0.005	0.005	0.005	
F-Test of IVs	37.110	36.880	36.850	

	Dependent variable: Ln Passengers			
antity	OSA .	Signatory cour	ntries	
mation	(4) Pooled	(5) By Type Gateways	(6) By Type Gateways	
OSA	-0.012 [0.039]	-0.036 [0.040]	-0.018 [0.039]	
OSA * Pre-OSA Gateway		0.100**	0.083* [0.044]	
OSA * Large Hub		0.091** [0.037]		
OSA * Large Hub (T100)			0.023 [0.055]	
Ln Airfare	-1.892*** [0.474]	-1.839*** [0.484]	-1.967*** [0.479]	
Ln Flight Segments	-1.003 [0.625]	-1.067* [0.641]	-1.019 [0.635]	
First Stage Regressions: Dependent variable	e:	Ln Airfare		
Partial R-squared	0.006	0.006	0.006	
<i>Dependent variable</i>	12.760 e: 1	12.520 In Flight Segn	12.780 nents	
Partial R-squared	0.005	0.005	0.005	
F-Test of IVs	37.110	36.880	36.850	

	Dependent variable: Ln Passengers			
antity	OSA Signatory countries			
mation	(4) Pooled	(5) By Type	(6) By Type	
		Gateways	Gateways	
OSA	-0.012	-0.036	-0.018	
	[0.039]	[0.040]	[0.039]	
OSA * Pre-OSA Gateway		0.100**	0.083*	
		[0.045]	[0.044]	
OSA * Large Hub		0.091**		
		[0.037]		
OSA * Large Hub (T100)			0.023	
			[0.055]	
Ln Airfare	-1.892***	-1.839***	-1.967***	
	[0.474]	[0.484]	[0.479]	
Ln Flight Segments	-1.003	-1.067*	-1.019	
	[0.625]	[0.641]	[0.635]	
First Stage Regressions:				
Dependent variable	2:	Ln Airfare		
Partial R-squared	0.006	0.006	0.006	
F-Test of IVs	12.760	12.520	12.780	
Dependent variable	2: 1	Ln Flight Segn	nents	
Partial R-squared	0.005	0.005	0.005	
F-Test of IVs	37.110	36.880	36.850	

#### Number of Flight Segments Per Route

 $lnSeg_{odt} = \alpha_{od} + \alpha_t + \delta_1 lnQ_{odt} + \delta_2 OSA_{dt} + \delta_3 \ln W_{odt} + \varepsilon_{odt}$ 

- Key variables:
  - Passenger quantities (IV: population at origin and destination)
  - Measures of liberalization: OSA
- Controls
  - per-capita incomes at origin & destination
  - fixed effects for origin-destination, time
  - Regional trends

la Sagmant	Dependent variable: Ln Flight Segments				
io. segment	<b>OSA</b> Signatory countries				
stimation	(4)	(5)	(6)		
	Pooled	By Type	By Type		
		Gateways	Gateways		
OSA	-0.015**	-0.027***	-0.017***		
	[0.006]	[0.007]	[0.006]		
OSA * Pre-OSA Gateway		0.045***	0.036***		
		[0.007]	[0.007]		
OSA * Large Hub		0.046***			
		[0.005]			
OSA * Large Hub (T100)			-0.021*		
			[0.011]		
Ln Passengers	-0.099***	-0.105***	-0.094***		
	[0.011]	[0.011]	[0.011]		
Ln MSA Income	0.089***	0.081***	0.084***		
	[0.012]	[0.012]	[0.012]		
Ln PcGDP	0.014	0.015	0.013		
	[0.027]	[0.028]	[0.027]		
First Stage Regression:					
Dependent variabl	e:	Ln Passengers			
Partial R-squared	0.011	0.010	0.011		
F-Test of IVs	240.000	235.700	245.300		

No Sogmont	Dependent variable: Ln Flight Segments				
vo. segment	OSA Signatory countries				
Estimation	(4)	(5)	(6)		
	Pooled	By Type	Ву Туре		
		Gateways	Gateways		
OSA	-0.015**	-0.027***	-0.017***		
	[0.006]	[0.007]	[0.006]		
OSA * Pre-OSA Gateway		0.045***	0.036***		
2		[0.007]	[0.007]		
OSA * Large Hub		0.046***			
_		[0.005]			
OSA * Large Hub (T100)			-0.021*		
			[0.011]		
Ln Passengers	-0.099***	-0.105***	-0.094***		
	[0.011]	[0.011]	[0.011]		
Ln MSA Income	0.089***	0.081***	0.084***		
	[0.012]	[0.012]	[0.012]		
Ln PcGDP	0.014	0.015	0.013		
	[0.027]	[0.028]	[0.027]		
First Stage Regression:					
Dependent variabl	e:	Ln Passengers			
Partial R-squared	0.011	0.010	0.011		
F-Test of IVs	240.000	235.700	245.300		

No Sogmont	Dependent variable: Ln Flight Segments				
vo. segment	<b>OSA Signatory countries</b>				
Estimation	(4)	(5)	(6)		
	Pooled	By Type	By Type		
		Gateways	Gateways		
OSA	-0.015**	-0.027***	-0.017***		
	[0.006]	[0.007]	[0.006]		
OSA * Pre-OSA Gateway		0.045***	0.036***		
-		[0.007]	[0.007]		
OSA * Large Hub		0.046***			
C C		[0.005]			
OSA * Large Hub (T100)			-0.021*		
			[0.011]		
Ln Passengers	-0.099***	-0.105***	-0.094***		
	[0.011]	[0.011]	[0.011]		
Ln MSA Income	0.089***	0.081***	0.084***		
	[0.012]	[0.012]	[0.012]		
Ln PcGDP	0.014	0.015	0.013		
	[0.027]	[0.028]	[0.027]		
First Stage Regression:					
Dependent variab	le:	Ln Passengers			
Partial R-squared	0.011	0.010	0.011		
F-Test of IVs	240.000	235.700	245.300		

### Combining the OSA Effects into a Consumer Welfare Measure

- Changes in P, Q, Segments depend on OSA <u>and</u> on each other
- Need to calculate <u>total derivative</u> of P and Q w.r.t. OSA

		Consumer Welfare Effects of OSA				
		Pooled	Pre-OSA Gateway	Large Hub (All)	Large Hub (T100)	Spoke Cities
ŀ	PANEL B – OSA SIGNATORY COUNTRI	IES				
1.	Cumulative Demand Effects: Of which:	0.078	0.104	0.171	0.227	0.053
2.	Direct Effect:	-0.012	0.064	0.055	0.005	-0.036
3.	Indirect Effect via Prices:	0.068	0.048	0.117	0.162	0.055
4.	Indirect Effect via Connectivity:	0.023	-0.008	-0.001	0.060	0.035
5.	Price Equiv. of Demand Effects:	-0.006	-0.031	-0.029	-0.034	0.001
б.	Cumulative Cost Effects: Of which:	-0.036	-0.026	-0.064	-0.085	-0.030
7.	Direct Effect:	-0.028	-0.015	-0.046	-0.063	-0.025
8.	Indirect Effect via Quantity:	-0.008	-0.011	-0.018	-0.024	-0.005
9.	Indirect Effect via Connectivity:	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.001
11.	Total price effect of OSA:	-0.041	-0.057	-0.093	-0.120	-0.029

		Consumer Welfare Effects of OSA				
		Pooled	Pre-OSA Gateway	Large Hub (All)	Large Hub (T100)	Spoke Cities
F	PANEL B – OSA SIGNATORY COUNTRI	ES				
1.	Cumulative Demand Effects: Of which:	0.078	0.104	0.171	0.227	0.053
2.	Direct Effect:	-0.012	0.064	0.055	0.005	-0.036
3.	Indirect Effect via Prices:	0.068	0.048	0.117	0.162	0.055
4.	Indirect Effect via Connectivity:	0.023	-0.008	-0.001	0.060	0.035
5.	Price Equiv. of Demand Effects:	-0.006	-0.031	-0.029	-0.034	0.001
б.	Cumulative Cost Effects: Of which:	-0.036	-0.026	-0.064	-0.085	-0.030
7.	Direct Effect:	-0.028	-0.015	-0.046	-0.063	-0.025
8.	Indirect Effect via Quantity:	-0.008	-0.011	-0.018	-0.024	-0.005
9.	Indirect Effect via Connectivity:	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.001
11.	Total price effect of OSA:	-0.041	-0.057	-0.093	-0.120	-0.029

		Consumer Welfare Effects of OSA							
	—	·	Pre-OSA	Large Hub	Large Hub	Spoke			
	· · ·	Pooled	Gateway	(All)	(T100)	Cities			
P	PANEL B – OSA SIGNATORY COUNTRIES								
1.	Cumulative Demand Effects:	0.078	0.104	0.171	0.227	0.053			
	Of which:								
2.	Direct Effect:	-0.012	0.064	0.055	0.005	-0.036			
3.	Indirect Effect via Prices:	0.068	0.048	0.117	0.162	0.055			
4.	Indirect Effect via Connectivity:	0.023	-0.008	-0.001	0.060	0.035			
5.	Price Equiv. of Demand Effects:	-0.006	-0.031	-0.029	-0.034	0.001			
6	Cumulative Cost Effects:	-0.036	-0.026	-0.064	-0.085	-0.030			
•.	Of which:	01000	0.020	0.007		01000			
7.	Direct Effect:	-0.028	-0.015	-0.046	-0.063	-0.025			
8.	Indirect Effect via Quantity:	-0.008	-0.011	-0.018	-0.024	-0.005			
9.	Indirect Effect via Connectivity:	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.001			
11.	Total price effect of OSA:	-0.041	-0.057	-0.093	-0.120	-0.029			

		Consumer Welfare Effects of OSA						
		Pooled	Pre-OSA Gateway	Large Hub (All)	Large Hub (T100)	Spoke Cities		
ŀ	PANEL B – OSA SIGNATORY COUNTRIES							
1.	Cumulative Demand Effects:	0.078	0.104	0.171	0.227	0.053		
	Of which:							
2.	Direct Effect:	-0.012	0.064	0.055	0.005	-0.036		
3.	Indirect Effect via Prices:	0.068	0.048	0.117	0.162	0.055		
4.	Indirect Effect via Connectivity:	0.023	-0.008	-0.001	0.060	0.035		
5.	Price Equiv. of Demand Effects:	-0.006	-0.031	-0.029	-0.034	0.001		
б.	Cumulative Cost Effects: Of which:	-0.036	-0.026	-0.064	-0.085	-0.030		
7.	Direct Effect:	-0.028	-0.015	-0.046	-0.063	-0.025		
8.	Indirect Effect via Quantity:	-0.008	-0.011	-0.018	-0.024	-0.005		
9.	Indirect Effect via Connectivity:	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.001		
11.	Total price effect of OSA:	-0.041	-0.057	-0.093	-0.120	-0.029		

		Consumer Welfare Effects of OSA							
	-	Pooled	Pre-OSA Gateway	Large Hub (All)	Large Hub (T100)	Spoke Cities			
P	PANEL B – OSA SIGNATORY COUNTRIES								
1.	Cumulative Demand Effects: Of which:	0.078	0.104	0.171	0.227	0.053			
2.	Direct Effect:	-0.012	0.064	0.055	0.005	-0.036			
3.	Indirect Effect via Prices:	0.068	0.048	0.117	0.162	0.055			
4.	Indirect Effect via Connectivity:	0.023	-0.008	-0.001	0.060	0.035			
5.	Price Equiv. of Demand Effects:	-0.006	-0.031	-0.029	-0.034	0.001			
б.	Cumulative Cost Effects: Of which:	-0.036	-0.026	-0.064	-0.085	-0.030			
7.	Direct Effect:	-0.028	-0.015	-0.046	-0.063	-0.025			
8.	Indirect Effect via Quantity:	-0.008	-0.011	-0.018	-0.024	-0.005			
9.	Indirect Effect via Connectivity:	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.001			
11.	Total price effect of OSA:	-0.041	-0.057	-0.093	-0.120	-0.029			

		Consumer Welfare Effects of OSA					
		Pooled	Pre-OSA Gateway	Large Hub (All)	Large Hub (T100)	Spoke Cities	
ŀ	PANEL B – OSA SIGNATORY COUNTR	IES					
1.	Cumulative Demand Effects: Of which:	0.078	0.104	0.171	0.227	0.053	
2.	Direct Effect:	-0.012	0.064	0.055	0.005	-0.036	
3.	Indirect Effect via Prices:	0.068	0.048	0.117	0.162	0.055	
4.	Indirect Effect via Connectivity:	0.023	-0.008	-0.001	0.060	0.035	
5.	Price Equiv. of Demand Effects:	-0.006	-0.031	-0.029	-0.034	0.001	
6.	Cumulative Cost Effects:	-0.036	-0.026	-0.064	-0.085	-0.030	
	Of which:						
7.	Direct Effect:	-0.028	-0.015	-0.046	-0.063	-0.025	
8.	Indirect Effect via Quantity:	-0.008	-0.011	-0.018	-0.024	-0.005	
9.	Indirect Effect via Connectivity:	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.001	
11.	Total price effect of OSA:	-0.041	-0.057	-0.093	-0.120	-0.029	

		Consumer Welfare Effects of OSA					
		Pooled	Pre-OSA Gateway	Large Hub (All)	Large Hub (T100)	Spoke Cities	
PANEL B – OSA SIGNATORY COUNTRIES							
1.	Cumulative Demand Effects: Of which:	0.078	0.104	0.171	0.227	0.053	
2.	Direct Effect:	-0.012	0.064	0.055	0.005	-0.036	
3.	Indirect Effect via Prices:	0.068	0.048	0.117	0.162	0.055	
4.	Indirect Effect via Connectivity:	0.023	-0.008	-0.001	0.060	0.035	
5.	Price Equiv. of Demand Effects:	-0.006	-0.031	-0.029	-0.034	0.001	
б.	Cumulative Cost Effects: Of which:	-0.036	-0.026	-0.064	-0.085	-0.030	
7.	Direct Effect:	-0.028	-0.015	-0.046	-0.063	-0.025	
8.	Indirect Effect via Quantity:	-0.008	-0.011	-0.018	-0.024	-0.005	
9.	Indirect Effect via Connectivity:	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.001	
11.	Total price effect of OSA:	-0.041	-0.057	-0.093	-0.120	-0.029	

## **Summary and Conclusions**

- Regulation of aviation markets constrain capacity, routes, entry.
- We study the resulting market distortions and the channels through which the market responds after liberalization.
- We build a model of capacity constrained price competition with uncertain demand and consumer heterogeneity
  - comparative statics that map tightly into empirical objects
- We use a diff-in-diff estimation strategy to find that OSAs lead to:
  - new route offerings
  - capacity allocation towards constrained routes
  - lower prices, higher quantities, more direct services (higher quality)
     Benefits are largest for most constrained cities.

### **Summary and Conclusions**

- Policy implications of aviation liberalization go beyond the immediate industry benefits:
  - travel affects the rate of innovation and economic growth
  - travel affects trade and FDI
  - possible channel for the observed complementarity between manufacturing and services trade

### Thank You!